

NAFA BOD Meeting Minutes – May 4th, 2026 6:00pm CDT via Zoom

In Attendance:

Board Members:

- Jayne McQuillen, Chair
- Emily-Rose Allred, Vice-Chair
- Dana Nichols, Treasurer
- Darcey Sare, Secretary
- Jacob Patrick
- John Forseth
- Mindy Trombley
- Paula Johnson
- Leerie Jenkins

Executive Director: Steve Corona

Guests: Karen Oleson

Absent: None

Jayne called the meeting to order at 4:07pm CDT.

Officer's Reports:

Chairperson's (Jayne McQuillen) Report:

1. No report.

Executive Director's (Steve Corona) Report:

1. Attending clubs are excited about the upcoming FOWC
2. CanAm contract has been signed with the Indiana State Fairgrounds and parking buyout has been completed.

Treasurer's (Dana Nichols) Report:

1. Report in Finance

Secretary's (Darcey Sare) Report:

1. Previous meeting minutes were posted.

Standing Committee Reports:

Judge's Committee (John Forseth) Report:

1. Kailey Smith - Apprentice to Provisional.
 - a. John moves that Kailey Smith be moved from Apprentice to Provisional Judge. Leerie seconds. No discussion. Unanimous approval. Motion Carried.
2. Modification for reinstatement of Todd Morningstar's judging assignments

- a. Todd had been asked to do 4 assignments prior to reinstatement. Plan was to do two under a Supervising Head Judge and 2 under a “regular” TD+RD scenario. The first assignment has been completed under John as a Supervising Head Judge. John recommends that the remaining three can be done under a TD/RD.
 - i. John moves that Todd Morningstar’s remaining three reinstatement assignments be allowed to be completed under a TD+RD. Dana seconds. Discussion ensues re: setting a precedent for others returning to NAFA re: measuring and number of heats, etc. There was also discussion about setting a precedent for others returning to judging after an absence. It was thought that these could be done on a case per case basis. Dana rescinds her second, requiring an amended motion. Discussion continues.
 - ii. John amends his motion and moves that Todd Morningstar’s remaining three reinstatement assignments be allowed to be completed under a TD+RD, as well as have measuring video of a minimum of five dogs submitted to the Judge’s Committee if there is no Supervising Head Judge present. Dana seconds with this modification. No further discussion. Unanimous approval. Motion Carried
3. Judge’s Committee recommendations for Undue Aggression.
 - a. The judges committee does not recommend changes to the description of aggression in the rules. It is the judge’s discretion to evaluate the context of the situation and make an appropriate determination.
 - b. Dana moves that we make the appropriate changes to the language of Section 8.4 - Aggressive dogs (page 22) in the rulebook to include “It is the judge’s discretion to evaluate the context of the situation and make an appropriate determination”. Paula seconds. No further discussion. Unanimous approval. Motion Carried.

Technology Committee (Leerie Jenkins) Report:

1. Light Curtain Update
 - a. Dave T. has been working hard on these light curtains and has provided extensive, detailed reports provided to the committee.
2. Farmtek Console
 - a. We need one to do the testing of this new light curtain code against the consoles. Discussion around purchasing a new console from FarmTek ensues. Steve is willing to take on the sourcing of this console.
 - b. Dana asks about the cost of shipping of the new EJS system. Discussion ensues and Leerie offers descriptions of the new EJS setups, believing they will be smaller and lighter. The hope is to provide a full EJS to each region.
3. New website development updates
 - a. Chris Johnson is a web developer that has offered to present a new web design methodology. We are going to be looking at this option and will report back to the board.
 - b. Jacob gives an update re: the photo database and metadata/keyword tagging. Leerie has been provided with a copy for insertion into the website.
4. Canine Sports Hub interface:
 - a. There is a dongle that sends data from the EJS device to the head table’s computer for population directly into the software.

- b. APES and split times are working with EJS device output. A “Y” adapter can be used to simultaneously gather both data streams in real time.
 - c. Dana thanks Fur Fun and Bad Company for testing the above features at recent tournaments.
 - d. The need for CSH tournament host documentation is discussed.
- 5. CanAm registration real time payment processing.
 - a. Steve Brannin has been working on this and making very good progress tying it into Square.

Rules Committee (Dana Nichols) Report:

1. Definition of probation & suspension for the rulebook.
 - a. The committee was tasked with defining these terms. We have a re-draft to section 5.7-Probation to change the name to Section 5.7- Probation and Suspension, then laying out what happens on probation and suspension.
 - i. Probation: when an individual or club is put on probation, it is for a specific period of time not to exceed one year. While on probation, the individual or club is not considered in good standing, and shall not be eligible for:
 1. Clubs: Not eligible for Regional Championships Placement or Overall Club Champion Placement for any racing year during which they are on probation.
 2. Individuals: individuals on probation cannot judge or act as an Regional Director, Executive Director, NAFA Board member, Tournament Director, or Tournament Secretary during the term of probation. Individuals on probation cannot run for election for the NAFA Board of Directors, Executive Director, Judge of the Year, and their dogs are not eligible for nomination or election to the Hall of Fame or Regional MVP awards.
 3. Individuals and Clubs that are on probation may participate in NAFA sanctioned events by entering teams, running dogs, and otherwise participating in a tournament.
 - ii. Suspension - an individual or club can be placed on suspension, and shall require a petition to be reinstated. While under suspension, an individual or club shall:
 1. Clubs: clubs on suspension may not participate in, sanction, or attend NAFA sanctioned tournaments. Clubs on suspension cannot register CRNs on new dogs, accrue dog points or titles, or compete in any fashion. Clubs under suspension are not eligible for Regional Championships Placement or Overall Club Champion Placement for any racing year during which they are suspended.
 2. Individuals: individuals on suspension may not participate in, sanction, or attend NAFA sanctioned tournaments. Individuals on suspension cannot register CRNs on new dogs, accrue dog points or titles, or compete in any fashion. individuals under suspension cannot judge or act as an Regional Director, Executive Director, NAFA Board member, Tournament Director, or Tournament Secretary. Individuals under suspension cannot run for election for the NAFA Board of Directors, Executive Director, Judge of the Year, and their dogs are not eligible for nomination or election to the Hall of Fame or Regional MVP awards.

- b. Executive Director Authority. Pursuant to the bylaws, the Executive Director has the power to suspend or place on probation individuals or clubs from all NAFA benefits and privileges, subject to ratification by the Board of Directors at the next regular annual meeting. This power is non-delegable.
 - i. A Regional Director may give a warning to a tournament director, club, individual, or any participant for violating rules of racing or conduct prejudicial to flyball. The warning, whether oral or written, must be documented and forwarded to the Executive Director. If required, a second warning for the same or similar violations shall also be reported to the Executive Director, who shall forward it to the Board of Directors for appropriate action.
- c. Late payment: this is the main area where clubs are put on probation or suspension. Dana discusses a change from “club” to “club and club owner” in the language to prevent probationary or suspended clubs from simply attempting to reform under a different entity.

Dana moves that we adopt the above changes in the rulebook language for Probation and Suspension. John F seconds. No further discussion. Unanimous approval. Motion Carried.

- 2. Defining aggression
 - a. This item was redirected to the Judges Committee.
- 3. Proposal for jump height brackets
 - a. The board have looked at the below proposals
 - i. Veronica Miller recommends bracketing heights similar to Agility. Under this proposal, according to our current database, 62.3% of dogs would jump 7”, 35.6% of dogs would jump 9”, and 2.1% of dogs would jump 11”.
 - 1. Dana moves that the Board does not recommend this proposal. Leerie seconds. Unanimous approval. Motion Carried.
 - ii. Dave Strauss offers the below. Ulna and withers can both be used. This is new business, but the board elected to discuss this proposal at this time. The amount of data and analysis is appreciated by the members of the board.
 - 1. John F moves the Board does not recommend this approach. Leerie seconds. Unanimous approval. Motion Carried.
 - b. The board acknowledges and appreciates the thought, effort and hard work put into this discussion and proposal of measuring options by many competitors.

Finance Committee (Dana Nichols) Report:

- 1. Budget update:
 - a. The committee has submitted the budget update. Many, many thanks to Crystal Cappel for all her efforts. We are very close to projections although tournament fees are down overall, as some formerly large scale tournaments are now smaller, etc.
 - b. Other areas of expense. EJS shipping costs were discussed at length, and the committee is recommending that there be a meeting with EJS coordinator and carrier so we can strike a deal for shipping.
 - c. The committee and the Board issues many thanks to those that hand carry EJS to various tournaments. It saves NAFA a substantial amount of money, and it is truly appreciated.
 - c. Second quarter financials have been submitted.

- d. Integration between Square and Paypal in Quickbooks has been achieved.
- 2. Hiring additional staff
 - a. The committee talked about our current needs - Quickbooks for accounting, etc. Karen O. and Crystal are doing the majority of that work currently, but in the interest of having coverage for possible “incidents - hit by a bus” we are looking at various options both within NAFA membership as well as possible outsourcing.

Jayne takes the board into executive session at 5:46PM CDT.

Jayne takes the board out of exec at 6:16PM

- b. Dana proposes revisiting all honorariums and positions to ensure they are more closely aligned with current requirements.

Leerie leaves the Board meeting to attend the Technology Committee meeting at 5:59PM CDT

The board takes a 15 minute break at 6:16PM

The board is called back to order at 6:40PM

- 3. Transition of accounts
 - a. Steve has this item in process and will be following up in time for the next meeting.
- 4. Canadian forms for online payment of tournament fees
 - a. Canadian form is completed for various fees using email to Interac. A Canadian Square account has been created, but is not usable via debit unless incorporated in Canada, so it will not be pursued further.

Election Committee Report:

- 1. Emily Rose has started working on a write up for social media outlets re: election, and will have full information prior to the August meeting.
- 2. Elections will open August 1, 2026 and close September 30, 2026.

Nominating Committee:

- 1. No report

Marketing Committee (Paula Johnson) Report:

- 1. Online store update
 - a. Paula has tried to connect our Square account to Printful. The challenge is that we need to have a Square storefront first. A Square storefront site is now being looked into for this purpose. Paula shares how this would work with the group.
- 2. Social media calendar update
 - a. Paula presents the social media calendar timeline for announcements of various CanAm and election phases and deadlines. The board assists in setting dates.

Disciplinary Committee (Jayne McQuillen) Report:

1. No report.

Current Board Actions:

Name/CRN	Type	Description	Effective Date
Ellen Telleen	Suspension	Conduct prejudicial to the sport of NAFA flyball	Indefinitely
Krysta Telleen	Suspension	Conduct prejudicial to the sport of NAFA flyball	Indefinitely
Terry Griffin	Suspension	Conduct prejudicial to the sport of NAFA flyball	09/21/2022
Marion Brinkman	Suspension	Conduct prejudicial to the sport of NAFA flyball	Indefinitely
Mike Mattos	Suspension	Conduct prejudicial to the sport of NAFA flyball	Indefinitely
Janet Nelson Morris	Suspension	Conduct prejudicial to the sport of NAFA flyball	1998
Dave Mueller	Suspension	Conduct prejudicial to the sport of NAFA flyball	1998
Cheryl Mueller	Suspension	Conduct prejudicial to the sport of NAFA flyball	1998
Jennifer Nelson	Suspension	Conduct prejudicial to the sport of NAFA flyball	1998
705 RF Revolution	Suspension	Failure to pay tournament fees	Indefinitely
1050 InFocus Flyball	Suspension	Failure to pay tournament fees	Indefinitely
255 Pawdemonium	Suspension	Failure to pay tournament fees	Indefinitely

Review Panel Report:

1. Bullet 220304 Aggression Excusal
2. Cooper 240036 Aggression Excusal
3. Kaianne 240291 Aggression Excusal

Special Committee Reports:

CanAm Steering Committee (Steve Corona) Report:

1. Jayne discusses some ideas re: camping at CanAm this year and the idea of offering block reservations for clubs at the 46th Street campground, and other ways to make staying there more attractive. These reservations would need to be in and paid for by June 1st.
 - a. Jayne and Karen O. to sort out details and announce.
2. Emily Rose to organize an event for Thursday for vendors, pin/sticker trading, other items of whimsy.
3. Photographers are being organized, and it is hoped to have more photographers overall this year.

Invitational Selection Committee:

1. No report

Junior Handler's Committee:

1. Paula is to gather the committee and create a sort of passport for Juniors as a fun activity during the weekend to earn prizes of some sort.

Old Business:

1. None

New Business:

1. **Proposal from Veronica Miller (sent to Rules Committee prior to meeting):**

I see that measuring is back in the spotlight with the proposal for ulna measurements being submitted again. To that end, I am sharing a consideration based around size "brackets" that are utilized in sports like agility. This change would keep the method of measuring as-is, but allow greater flexibility for teams to make choices based on their dog's structure, body mass, jump style, and training methods. I am not trying to muddy the water as you look into the current proposal on the table, but simply offering an alternative that may answer concerns these competitors have, while not upending the entire measuring system that NAFA has thoroughly researched and developed with those results in mind. The goal of this proposal is to introduce greater flexibility in jump height selection while maintaining fairness, safety, and competitive integrity across teams of varying dog sizes.

Proposed Jump Height Brackets

I propose the creation of three jump height brackets based on a dog's height at the withers:

Small Dogs (under 15 inches at the withers):

Jump heights set at 7, 8, or 9 inches

Medium Dogs (over 15 inches up to and including 18 inches at the withers):

Jump heights set at 9, 10, or 11 inches

Large Dogs (over 18 inches at the withers):

Jump heights set at 11, 12, or 13 inches

Race Jump Height Selection

Teams may select any jump height within their designated bracket for each race.

All jumps in a heat must be set to the same height.

Jump heights may not be changed between heats unless there is a lineup change.

Height change restrictions do not apply during warm-ups or practice starts.

Measurement Standards

Dogs will be measured in accordance with current NAFA measuring standards.

Dogs are required to be measured to have a height bracket designation. Dogs that are opted out of measuring are considered Large, regardless of actual height.

Dogs between 15 and 24 months of age must be measured once during that time period.

Dogs over 24 months of age must be measured by two different judges at two separate events (as identified by event number). These measurements may occur on the same weekend, provided each day is assigned a unique event number. Once measured, dogs will be designated as Small, Medium, or Large on timesheets rather than listing their exact height.

Rationale and Benefits

This bracketed system allows teams to better tailor jump heights to their dogs' physical capabilities, potentially reducing injury risk while preserving competitive balance. It also introduces strategic flexibility without significantly increasing complexity in administration of the way measuring is done, as this is already in place.

Thank you for your time and consideration of this proposal. I would welcome any feedback or the opportunity to further discuss or refine these ideas with the committee

2. Proposal from Dave Strauss:

Very sorry for the length of this proposal but the topic turns out to be fairly complex. The "too long; didn't read" (TL;DR) version: I'm proposing that NAFA consider adopting a hybrid system for measuring height dogs that allows a dog's owner to use either a withers measurement or an ulna measurement to set the jump height for the dog. The system I'm proposing would use an ulna-measurement scale that matches as closely as possible the existing withers measurement system.

If you're not interested in background or arguments about the proposal you can skip to the "PROPOSAL" section below.

BACKGROUND:

Two issues that are perennially discussed in Flyball circles are jump heights and the methods we use to measure dogs to assign jump heights. Currently there is a proposal and petition circulating to get NAFA to convert to using an ulna measurement (as practiced by U-Fli, presumably, although the proposal doesn't specify which ulna measurement rules should be used). This idea was examined by NAFA in 2018 and was ultimately rejected basically because it would be too disruptive (see references (R1) and (R2) below). NAFA's investigations did, however, lead eventually to a reworking of the system NAFA uses to measure dogs' height at the withers; this current system - comprising mandatory measuring, permanent height assignments, more consistent training of judges, and the inclusion of the RDs as trained impartial observers - has greatly reduced the variability in the withers measurement. At roughly the same time, NAFA lowered the overall jump height by an inch, making it 6 inches below the withers instead of the previous 5 inches.

REASONS WHY A WITHERS MEASUREMENT ISN'T SO GREAT:

Although height at the withers is a well-recognized and traditional way of characterizing a dog's size, there is not a lot of consensus about the details surrounding such a measurement. Agility and conformation judges, for instance, tend to emphasize a "natural" position (see for example the video in reference (R3)), while the NAFA rulebook emphasizes a "proper" stance. What constitutes a natural or proper stance for one judge may be an invalid stance for another judge (hence the need for training and the importance of the RD as an observer). My experience as an RD is that the withers measurement can vary by as much as an inch even though the stance remains "proper". While I have no direct experience with agility or conformation height measurements I suspect that the same variability applies there as well (see for example the post in reference (R4)).

Given that the natural variability of the withers measurement appears to be around an inch or so, and also given that we specify jump heights in one inch increments, it's easy to see why a withers measurement can be perceived as a poor way to assign jump heights. There will inevitably be many instances where dogs are measured at one jump height by one judge and another jump height by another judge, especially if those dogs are on a jump height boundary. There will also be many instances where a dog will be measured at two different jump heights by the same judge - in fact the NAFA "three tries" rule acknowledges that this is expected (the rules also acknowledge that judges will disagree - hence the "two judges must agree" rule for permanent measurements).

Getting a withers measurement is also what I'll call a "fiddly" process. The dog being measured is often a bit stressed (a strange person hovering over them, the general chaos of a Flyball tournament) and it often takes a while for them to settle down enough to get a valid measurement. And the dog really does have to settle down in order for a measurement to be made - a dog that never stops moving cannot be measured. I have rarely seen a measurement take less than a minute and often it takes longer (the rules allow up to 5 minutes per measurement attempt).

REASONS TO USE AN ULNA MEASUREMENT:

- (1) The length of the ulna, similar to the dog's height at the withers, is one way of characterizing the size of a dog - and in fact NAFA's data have shown that the two measurements are roughly correlated (see the spreadsheet in reference (R6)).
- (2) There are many other Flyball organizations that use an ulna measurement - for example FCI, UKFL, and U-Fli. Switching to an ulna measurement could allow standardization with one or more of those organizations.
- (3) The ulna measurement is arguably quicker than the withers measurement - although I don't have any real data on this.
- (4) The ulna measurement is possibly more precise and more repeatable than the withers measurement (although I don't believe there is any real data to support this assertion - the question being whether

the ulna measurement is precise and repeatable enough to assign jump heights in one-inch increments).

REASONS WHY SWITCHING TO AN ULNA MEASUREMENT ALONE ISN'T A GOOD IDEA:

Both the withers measurement and the ulna measurement can be seen as "fair" systems in that they both track the overall size of a dog (and hence to some extent their jumping ability). However as NAFA's data have shown, each method favors different builds - with some tall dogs having shorter ulnas and some short dogs having longer ulnas - such that two dogs of similar sizes will have different jump heights under the different systems. This is not a bad thing in and of itself - any set of rules in a sport involving dogs, whose body types vary so greatly, is inevitably going to favor some body types over others. The fairness aspect comes from having a well-defined set of rules that are consistently applied. So it's perfectly fair to use the ulna measurement (or the withers measurement, for that matter) even though doing so will advantage certain dogs over others.

The problem, as the NAFA board determined in 2018, is the disruption that would entail if NAFA switched from the withers measurement to the ulna measurement. As NAFA's data showed, some dogs would end up with a lower jump height with the ulna measurement, but some dogs would end up with a higher jump height (in some cases as much as two or three inches). The rules would go from disadvantaging one set of dogs to disadvantaging a different set of dogs. In other words, the level of fairness or unfairness would not change - it would just change who it affected. The NAFA board at the time determined that this disruption wasn't worth it.

Note here that U-Fli holds a patent in the US on a "Canine leg measurement device and method of use" (see reference (R9) below). Making sure that NAFA doesn't infringe on such a patent is another reason not to switch to using an ulna measurement, at least until 2027 when the patent expires.

REASONS TO ADOPT A HYBRID SYSTEM:

Basically, if the idea is to make the measurement system as fair as possible, why not allow dog owners to choose which method to use to measure their dogs? That way those whose dogs are advantaged by the withers measurement can use that method, and those whose dogs are advantaged by the ulna measurement can use that method. This can be done with minimal disruptions since the current system is part of the hybrid system.

REASONS NOT TO ADOPT THE FCI/UKFL/U-Fli ULNA MEASUREMENT:

If NAFA were to switch to a hybrid system, they could decide to adopt the measurement scale already used by another organization - for example FCI, UKFL, or U-Fli (note that adopting the UKFL scale would essentially implement the hybrid system currently in use by the British Flyball Association (BFA)). The system I propose here would use yet another scale, one that (based on NAFA's 2018 dataset) would result in a scale that more closely matches the existing withers measurement - in other words, under the proposed scale more dogs would have the same jump height no matter which way they were measured than using any of the other scales. See the "BFA Rules Spreadsheet" in reference (R8) below.

REASONS NOT TO ADOPT A HYBRID SYSTEM:

It definitely would add complexity to the measurement system. Measuring devices for both methods would have to be available at all tournaments, and judges would need to be trained and certified in both methods. The NAFA database would have to be changed to deal with both measurements.

Secondarily, since some dogs would end up with a lower jump height under a hybrid system, it might be viewed as unfair to those dogs that don't benefit.

REASONS NOT TO CHANGE ANYTHING:

The changes NAFA made to the withers measuring system are still quite new and it's not clear that we have given enough time yet to see their full effect. There's a good argument to be made that we should wait a few more years before considering such a major change.

There's also the larger question of whether or not there's anything broken enough in the current system to warrant changing it. Any measurement system used to set jump heights is going to be perceived as unfair to at least some portion of the Flyball community; that's just the nature of trying to sort dogs into one-inch buckets based on a physical measurement. Whether the current system is adequate for NAFA's needs is something only the board (or the delegates, if it's put to a delegate vote) can decide.

THE PROPOSAL:

I propose:

- that NAFA specify a digital caliper device similar to the one shown in the FCI Flyball rulebook. This device should have a precision of at least 0.1 mm.
- that NAFA specify a procedure for using such a caliper device for measuring a dog's ulna.
- that NAFA specify that the ulna be measured in millimeters.
- that NAFA specify that the ulna be measured to the precision of the device but recorded to the next lower millimeter.

- that the following table be used to convert from ulna measurement to jump height:

up to but not including 125 mm	==> 7 inches
up to but not including 137 mm	==> 8 inches
up to but not including 149 mm	==> 9 inches
up to but not including 160 mm	==> 10 inches
up to but not including 171 mm	==> 11 inches
up to but not including 182 mm	==> 12 inches
up to but not including 193 mm	==> 13 inches
over 193 mm	==> 14 inches

- that NAFA allow a dog's owner to choose whether to use an ulna measurement or a withers measurement to set the dog's jump height.
- that NAFA retain the existing process concerning height measurement, including who can measure, the number of attempts allowed to get a recorded measurement, and the number of measurements required to get a permanent measurement, with the following additions:
 - (a) for each attempt at a measurement, the owner must state whether they want a withers measurement or an ulna measurement.

(b) for each attempt at an ulna measurement, the owner can state whether the left or right leg should be measured. If the owner does not specify, the leg measured will be at the discretion of the measuring official.

- that NAFA establish training requirements and materials for ulna measurements.
- that NAFA require all NAFA judges to be trained in ulna measurements.
- that NAFA require all NAFA judges to maintain training standards by measuring the ulnas of at least 2 dogs during each racing year. (I also suggest that NAFA reduce the training requirements for withers measurements to 3 dogs each racing year, for a total of 5 dogs minimum measured each year using both methods).
- that NAFA implement the hybrid system starting in 2027, after the U-Fli patent on their measuring device expires.
- that NAFA implement a 6-month transition period before the hybrid system is implemented.
- that during the transition period, NAFA obtain and distribute ulna measuring devices, develop training materials, and train judges.
- that during the transition period, and once judges are trained, NAFA offer owners the option of getting an ulna measurement in addition to the required withers measurement.
- that measurements obtained during the transition period be used to validate the ulna-to-jump-height table.
- that NAFA allow any dog with a permanent measurement to retain that permanent measurement (grandfathering).
- that NAFA allow the owner of a dog that already has a permanent height measurement to choose to get an ulna measurement using the existing owner-initiated challenge procedure.
- that NAFA re-evaluate the hybrid system after it has been in place for 3 years. This re-evaluation should determine whether or not the withers measurement is still required, and whether the ulna-to-jump-height table needs to be adjusted.

NOTES:

(N1) The ulna-to-jump-height table is based on the data that NAFA gathered at CanAm 2018; this table attempts to result in minimal changes to jump height when comparing withers height to ulna length measurements. NAFA did not collect data on dogs setting 13- or 14-inch jump heights.

(N2) I think this proposal is a good compromise that largely retains the existing jump heights while at the same time addressing the perception that the current withers measurement is too variable and takes too long.

(N3) Offering a hybrid system accommodates dogs that will not tolerate one of the measurement methods but will tolerate the other.

(N4) Retaining the withers measurement as an option is more fair for dogs that have a long ulna relative to their withers height.

(N5) The three-attempts-to-get-a-recorded-measurement rule allows a dog to be measured once on the right leg, once on the left leg, and once at the withers.

REFERENCES:

(R1) NAFA withers vs. ulna measurement data:

<https://www.flyball.org/measuring/Raw-Data-No-Breeds.xlsx>

(R2) Neil Flood's summary of withers vs. ulna measurement data:

<https://www.facebook.com/NAFAFLYBALL/posts/pfbid02ihs7QM7KUv2AequdgwXAsaYoxmWDBs1DYKfQznLg31qyL1fyP2H39qw4DWGeLAh2l>

(R3) "How To Measure Your Dog For Agility":

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DLctG31PP0U>

(R4) "Agility: My dog measured higher than expected. Help."

https://www.reddit.com/r/k9sports/comments/15dddo2/agility_my_dog_measured_higher_than_expected_help/

(R5) Raw NAFA data, condensed and with updated jump heights:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jvPVozW0LhHXf5G1OfWHMuXxW1WCeuh_G3UnGAs3Eis/edit?usp=sharing

(R6) Withers height vs. ulna spreadsheet:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jvPVozW0LhHXf5G1OfWHMuXxW1WCeuh_G3UnGAs3Eis/edit?gid=1405577509

(R7) Hybrid proposal spreadsheet:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jvPVozW0LhHXf5G1OfWHMuXxW1WCeuh_G3UnGAs3Eis/edit?gid=123387621#gid=123387621

(R8) BFA Rules spreadsheet

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jvPVozW0LhHXf5G1OfWHMuXxW1WCeuh_G3UnGAs3Eis/edit?gid=1532508774#gid=1532508774

(R9) U-Flī patent "Canine leg measurement device and method of use"

<https://patents.google.com/patent/US20070245583A1/en>

Next meeting dates are set for:

1. August 21, 2026 @ 9:00AM CST
2. October 5th, 2026 @ 6:00PM CST

Emily Rose moves to adjourn the meeting. Jacob seconds. No opposition. Motion carried.

Meeting adjourns at 7:49 PM CDT.